



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,375	10/09/2001	Donald Gerald Stein	07157/239838 (5543-17)	5877

826 7590 07/01/2003

ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA  
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000  
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000

EXAMINER
----------

JIANG, SHAOJIA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1617

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Applicati n N .

09/973,375

Applicant(s)

STEIN ET AL.

Examin r

Shaojia A. Jiang

Art Unit

1617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

### DETAILED ACTION

In view of the interview summary wherein Applicant's agent urged and maintained that Applicants have not admitted that "a traumatic brain injury to CNS is tightly associated with GABA", which stated in the previous Office Action as "Applicant's admission regarding in the prior art in the specification (see page 2)", and Applicant's request for reconsideration of the rejection of the Final Office action November 20, 2002, **the finality of that action is therefore, withdrawn.**

The following is a new rejection.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gee et al. (Re. 35,517, PTO-1449 submitted April 8, 2002) in view of Roof et al. (43, 44, and 45, PTO-1449 submitted April 8, 2002) further in view of Weinshenker et al. (5,068,226, PTO-892).

Gee et al. discloses that progesterone metabolites and derivatives including the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, are useful in a pharmaceutical compositions and method for modulating brain excitability via gamma-aminobutyric acid

Art Unit: 1617

(GABA) (see particularly col.1 lines 17-21, Table 2 at col.13-14, col.4 lines 30-39,). Gee et al. also discloses that the beneficial effect of progesterone is related to the conversion of progesterone to the active metabolites and derivatives including allopregnanolone since the metabolites and derivatives possess higher potency and efficacy than progesterone (see col.17 lines 29-35). Gee et al. also discloses the effective amounts of progesterone derivatives, either singly or mixtures, to be administered, i.e., 50 mg to 500 mg per dosage unit, within the instant claim, and various known pharmaceutical carriers broadly in the compositions. See col.9 lines 16-25 and 32-62, col.10 lines 2-3, and claims 1 and 5.

The prior art does not expressly disclose the employment of the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, in a method for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to the central nervous system (CNS), and administering allopregnanolone about 0.5 to about 100 hours following the traumatic CNS injury, or the first dosage -1 hour following the injury and second dosage -6 hours following the injury. The prior art does not expressly disclose the employment of cyclodextrin as the carrier for the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone.

Roof et al. (43) discloses that progesterone possess ability to reduce significantly the cerebral edema associated with traumatic brain injury and facilitate cognitive recovery in a rat mammal. See the entire article especially abstract and introduction.

Roof et al. (43) discloses particularly that "it is necessary that it be effective in reducing

---

Art Unit: 1617

edema when given *after* the injury has occurred" (emphasis added originally, see page 64, the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the right column).

Roof et al. (44) discloses that progesterone has been shown to have neuroprotective effects following traumatic brain injury in rats, and/or in injured nervous system including the severity of postinjury cerebral edema. See the entire article especially abstract and introduction. Roof et al. also teaches that progesterone's neuroprotective effects are through its interaction with GABA, and progesterone and some of its metabolites are known to bind to and potentiate activity at the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor (see page 7 the last paragraph). Roof et al. (43) discloses particularly that the initial treatment of progesterone by injection (4 mg/kg) was given 5 min post-injury and the remaining injections (4 mg/kg) were given 6 hour post-injury and again once each 24-hours (see page 4 the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph)

Roof et al. (45) discloses that progesterone is useful in the treatment of brain edema following contusion injury in male and female rats. See the entire article especially abstract and introduction. Roof et al. (43\5) discloses particularly that "it is necessary that peogesterone be effective in reducing edema when given *after* the injury has occurred" (emphasis added originally, see page 425, the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the right column).

Weinshenker et al. discloses that cyclodextrins are broadly known to be useful as carriers for improving the delivery of active agents such as steroids, e.g., cyclodextrins enhancing the solubility of progesterone 2000 fold and similar effects are observed with other steroids such as prednisolone (see col.6 lines 20-32).

---

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, in a method for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to the central nervous system (CNS).

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to employ the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, in a method for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to CNS, since progesterone is known to be useful in a method for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to CNS according to Roof et al. Moreover, the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, is known to be useful in a pharmaceutical compositions and method for modulating brain excitability via gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and allopregnanolone is also known to possess higher potency and efficacy than progesterone has according to Gee et al. Further, progesterone and its metabolites such as allopregnanolone are known to share the same mechanism of action on their neuroprotective effects through their interaction with GABA, and progesterone and its metabolites are known to bind to and potentiate activity at the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor according to Roof et al.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected with a reasonable success that the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, would be useful

Art Unit: 1617

in a method for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to CNS, because of having the same therapeutic usefulness as progesterone in CNS and even exhibiting higher potency and efficacy, compared to progesterone.

Additionally, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to administer allopregnanolone about 0.5 to about 100 hours following the traumatic CNS injury, or the first dosage -1 hour following the injury and second dosage -6 hours following the injury, because the schedule or method for administering progesterone for treating a traumatic central system injury and a method of decreasing neurodegeneration in a subject following a traumatic injury to the central nervous system (CNS) has been clearly taught by Roof et al. (43, 44, and 45). It has also been held that it is within the skill in the art to select optimal parameters, such as amounts of ingredients, in a composition in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Further, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to employ cyclodextrin as the carrier for the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone since that cyclodextrins are broadly known to be useful as carriers for improving the delivery of active agents such as steroids, e.g., cyclodextrins enhancing the solubility of progesterone 2000 fold and similar effects are observed with other steroids such as prednisolone according to Weinshenker et al.

Thus the claimed invention as a whole is clearly prima facie obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

---

Art Unit: 1617

As discussed in the previous Office Action November 20, 2002, Applicant's results shown in the Examples 1-7 of the specification at pages 20-40 herein have been fully considered with respect to the nonobviousness and/or unexpected results of the claimed invention over the prior art but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons below.

It is noted that progesterone is employed in the testing of Example 6-7. Thus, Applicant clearly acknowledges that progesterone and its particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, have the same therapeutic usefulness as discussed by the examiner above. Therefore, Applicants' experiments further support the examiner's position for the motivation for the employment of allopregnanolone in the instant invention.

Secondly, the results in Examples 1-5 on the employment of the particular progesterone metabolite, allopregnanolone, show expected therapeutic effects as taught and suggested by the cited prior art herein. Therefore, the results herein are clearly expected and not unexpected based on the cited prior art. Expected beneficial results are evidence of obviousness. See MPEP § 716.02(c).

Therefore, the evidence presented in specification herein is not seen to support the nonobviousness of the instant claimed invention over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.




Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1877.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.  
Patent Examiner, AU 1617  
June 24, 2003

  
SREENI PADMANABHAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
6/30/03